Case 2:23-cv-00455-MCE-SCR Document 36 Filed 09/09/24 Page 1 of 3

	I .		
1	SERENA M. WARNER, ESQ., SB. No. 264799		
2	Email: swarner@akk-law.com JACOB J. GRAHAM, ESQ., SB No. 340295		
3	Email: jgraham@akk-law.com		
4	ANGELO, KILDAY & KILDUFF, LLP Attorneys at Law		
5	601 University Avenue, Suite 150 Sacramento, CA 95825		
6	Telephone: (916) 564-6100		
7	Telecopier: (916) 564-6263		
8	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF YOLO, CITY OF DAVIS, CITY OF WOODLAND, CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO, ANGEL BARAJAS, OSCAR VILLEGAS, DONALD		
9	SAYLOR, CHAD RINDE, AARON LAUREL, KEN HIATT, and MICHAEL WEBB		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	MICHAEL BISCH, an individual,	Case No.: 2:23-cv-00455-MCE-DB	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
15	vs.	EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
16)	
17	COUNTY OF YOLO, et al.,		
18	Defendants.)	
19	Plaintiff MICHAEL BISCH ("Plaintiff") and Defendants County Of Yolo, City Of Davis,		
20	City Of Woodland, City Of West Sacramento, Angel Barajas, Oscar Villegas, Donald Saylor, Chad		
21	Rinde, Aaron Laurel, Ken Hiatt, and Michael Webb ("Defendants") (collectively, "Parties"), by		
22	and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:		
23	WHEREAS, Defendants previously filed a Motion to Dismiss and an Anti-SLAPP Motion		
24	in this matter that were denied without prejudice as a result of a stay that has since been lifted		
25	(ECF Nos. 22, 33);		
26	WHEREAS, Plaintiff has agreed to produce potentially relevant evidence that may impact		
27	the scope of Defendants' motions;		
28	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that additional time is necessary for Plaintiff to produce this		
	-1- STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT		
I			

Case 2:23-cv-00455-MCE-SCR Document 36 Filed 09/09/24 Page 2 of 3

1	evidence and for Defendants to review the materials before re-filing the Motion to Dismiss and	
2	Anti-SLAPP Motion;	
3	NOW, THEREFORE, the Part	ies stipulate and agree that Defendants' deadline to re-file
4	the Motion to Dismiss and Anti-SLAP	P Motion shall be extended to September 26, 2024.
5		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
7		
8	Dated: September 9, 2024	ANGELO, KILDAY & KILDUFF, LLP
9		/s/ Jacob J. Graham
10		By: SERENA M. WARNER
11		JACOB J. GRAHAM
12		Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF YOLO, CITY OF DAVIS, CITY OF
13		WOODLAND, CITY OF WEST
14		SACRAMENTO, ANGEL BARAJAS, OSCAR VILLEGAS, DONALD
15		SAYLOR, CHAD RINDE, AARON LAUREL, KEN HIATT, and MICHAEL
16		WEBB
17	Dated:	SANJIV N. SINGH, A PROFESSIONAL
18		LAW CORPORATION
19		/s/ Sanjiv N. Singh
20		(as authorized on 9.6.24) By:
21		SANJIV N. SINGH Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL BISCH
22		·
23	Dated:	INDRAJANA LAW GROUP, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
24		/c/ Michael P. Induciona
25		/s/ Michael B. Indrajana (as authorized on 9.6.24)
26		By: MICHAEL B. INDRAJANA
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL BISCH
28		
		2

Case 2:23-cv-00455-MCE-SCR Document 36 Filed 09/09/24 Page 3 of 3

ORDER IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Defendants' deadline to re-file the Motion to Dismiss and Anti-SLAPP Motion shall be extended to September 26, 2024. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 9, 2024 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE